

1 GEOFF HANSEN  
Acting Federal Public Defender  
2 SHAWN HALBERT  
Assistant Federal Public Defender  
3 450 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
4 Telephone: (415) 436-7700  
5 Counsel for Defendant Manuel Baez Castro  
6  
7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10		No. CR 12-00154 WHA
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
12	Plaintiff,	)
13	v.	)
14	MANUEL BAEZ CASTRO,	)
15	Defendant.	)
16		)

---

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER CONTINUING STATUS  
HEARING FROM MAY 22, 2012 TO  
MAY 29, 2012

17  
18  
19 **STIPULATION**

20 The parties are scheduled to appear before this Court on May 22, 2012 at 2:00 p.m. The  
21 defense requests that the matter be continued by one week to give defense counsel additional time to  
22 research one issue that arose as a result of her last meeting with her client. The government has no  
23 objection to this request. The parties jointly stipulate and submit that the May 22, 2012 court  
24 appearance should be continued to May 29, 2012 or some other date thereafter convenient to the  
25 Court.

26 The parties also agree that the time between May 22, 2012 and May 29, 2012 (or some date

1 thereafter convenient to the Court) should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act; the continuance  
2 is necessary for effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and  
3 the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public  
4 and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

5 SO STIPULATED.

6  
7 Dated: 5/21/12

MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

9  
10 /s/  
MARK KANG  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

11  
12 Dated: 5/21/12

13 /s/  
SHAWN HALBERT  
Attorney for Defendant

**ORDER**

For the reasons stated above, the Court continues the status date in this matter from May 22, 2012 to May 29, 2012 ~~for to \_\_\_\_\_, 2012~~. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between May 22, 2012 and May 29, 2012 should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act; the continuance is necessary for effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 21, 2012.



---

William Alsup  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE